Upcoming Events

April	Sun 2	North Harbour Junior Open	North Harbour
	Fri 21 – Mon 24	NZ Veterans Championships	Palm North
	Fri 21– Tues 25	Senior/Junior Training Camp	North Harbour
	Sun 23 – Mon 24	Hutt Valley Easter Open	Petone
	Sat 29	Anzac Open	Auckland
May	Sat 6	Hard Bat Tournament	Palm North
	Sat 6 – Sun 7	Marlborough Open	Blenheim
	Sat 20	Northland Open `	Whangarei
	Sat 20 – Sun 21	Southland Open	Invercargill
	Sat 27 – Sun 28	Wellington Autumn Open	Wellington
June	Sat 3 – Mon 5	South Island Open	Timaru
	Sat 3 – Fri 9	Oceania Championships	New Caledonia
	Sat 10	Hutt Valley Seniors Open	Petone
	Sat 10	Teams Tournament	Palm North
	Sun 11	Developing Juniors Open	Petone
	Sat 17	Hawkes Bay Open	Napier
	Sat 17	Nthn Junior Round Robin	Auckland
	Sat 17 – Sun 18	Nelson Open	Nelson
	Sun 18	Auckland Junior Open	Auckland
July	Sat 1 – Sun 2	South Island Teams Tourn.	Timaru
	Sun 2	Wairarapa Open	Carterton
	Fri 7 – Sun 9	North Island Open	Taupo
	Tues 11 – Fri 14	National Development Camp	Nelson
	Sat 22 – Sun 23	Otago Open	Dunedin
	Sat 29	North Island Teams Tourn.	North Harbour
	Sat 29 – Sun 30	North Harbour Open	North Harbour
AugustSat 5	– Sun 6 Mana	watu Open Palm N	North
	Sat 12 – Sun 13	Canterbury Open	Christchurch
	Sat 12 – Sun 13	Auckland Open	Auckland
	Sat 19 – Sun 20	Wellington Open	Wellington
	Sat 26 – Sun 27	Waikato Open (tentative)	Hamilton
	Sat 26 – Sun 27	South Canterbury Open	Timaru
	Sun 27	Hutt Valley Developing Juniors	Petone
September	Sat 2 – Sun 3	Waitemata Open	Waitemata
	Sat 9 – Sun 10	Hutt Valley Open	Petone
	Sat 16 – Mon 25	Olympic Games Table Tennis	Sydney
	Sat 30 – Sun 8 Oct	New Zealand Open	Christchurch
November	Sat 18 – Sat 25	Australian Veteran Championships	Campbelltown NSW

Published by TABLE TENNIS New Zealand Inc.

Phone (04) 9162459 Fax (04) 4712152 P O Box 867 Level 5, Compudigm House 49 Boulcott St, Wellington E-mail - ttnz@tabletennis.org.nz World Wide Web - http://www.tabletennis.org.nz



Compiled and edited by:
Robin Radford and John Kiley Ph 04-232 5672
16 St Edmund Cres Tawa Fax 04-232 9172
Wellington E-Mail rradford@paradise.net.nz

Articles, letters and advertising published herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Table Tennis New Zealand Inc.



TABLE TENNIS INFO

Issue: 14

March 2000

Big Balls are in! Well - Nearly! page 2



Commonwealth and World Teams
Championships - New Zealand teams
results - Women win Bronze.
Report page 3



Have a great Easter



From



to



Larger Balls to be used.

But ... there is no need to panic ... read on ...

During the World Teams Championships, the International Table Tennis Federation General Meeting voted to use the 40mm ball in all ITTF tournaments from October 1st 2000. This includes World Championships, Olympic events, Pro Tour events and Open International Tournaments.

However it does not yet include events not controlled by the ITTF such as club and league matches and National Championships. The ITTF is encouraging member associations to continue to use the existing 38mm ball. This latter move is to help suppliers reduce stocks and to achieve a smooth transition to the larger ball.

The ITTF intends to keep the 38mm ball approval list for at least 3 years.

The Technical Committee of TTNZ has to now study the change and consider the implications for New Zealand. Certainly one can envisage there being no change this year with our National Championships retaining the 38mm ball in Sept/Oct.

By and large the change will certainly mostly affect our top players in 2001 who will need competitive play with the new ball to help them compete overseas. The committee will be looking at all aspects as more information is known.

On a local basis, associations have no need to worry for some time as the change will be gradual.

We can all expect to hear from The National association when the transition and changeover is clarified and planned.

And what about this new BIG Ball!

Readers will recall our article "Bigger Ball, Better Game?" in our November 1999 issue and to remind you of the major differences between the 38mm and 40mm ball we repeat the vital points of difference:

- It is between 4% and 8% slower depending on its launch speed.
- The slower the ball travels the more difference there is the most in service and its return, when players would have more time to adjust.
- It generates less spin research shows a 13% reduction in comparison with the existing ball.
- It is more visible to spectators it was clearly easier to discern than the existing ball.
- 80% of umpires could see the ball better at a range of less than 15 metres (30% at more than 50 metres).

World and Commonwealth Championships 2000

By Murray Finch, NZ Manager/Coach

The women's team was our strongest ever with **Li Chunli** available for the first time in many years and **Karen Li** playing in her first World and Commonwealth Championships. These two were backed by the experienced **Sarah Finch** and the youthful **Tracey McLauchlan** – a late replacement for **Maxine Goldie**.

The men's team performed to their own expectations. **Peter Jackson** struggled to regain his best form as he recovered from a recent shoulder injury and **Shane Laugesen** also suffered from a lack of recent play.

Commonwealth Championships

The **women's** team won a bronze medal, finishing behind Singapore, Australia and Malaysia. **Chunli** also picked up a bronze in the singles and combined with **Karen** to win another bronze in the doubles.

The men finished 7th with, coincidentally, their "killer" loss also being to Australia (1-4 with the only win being in the doubles).

A notable performance in the individuals was **Shane's** 4 game win over the highly promising Gareth Herbert of England in his best performance on tour. **Andrew Hubbard** and **Sarah Finch** continued their recent good form in mixed doubles by reaching the last 16 - winning two excellent matches against a Malaysian pair, from 7-21 and 6-14 down and the English pair of Herbert and Owalabi Kubrat 10-21, 21-19, 21-19. They lost to fourth seeds and eventual winners Cai Xioa Li and Zhang Xue Ling. **Tracey McLauchlan** won her first senior matches for NZ at this level winning the first round singles match and doubles match (with Sarah).

The Championships were split between two halls, one airconditioned and one not. Luckily for the men who played their first 3 matches in the "sweat box", temperatures were an unseasonably "cool" 26 to 28°C and 80 to 95% humidity. These Championships were dominated by the Singaporean teams who have imported 3 ex-Chinese in both their men's and women's teams. However it was the defending champions, England who won the men's teams title beating Singapore 4-2 in the final in something of a surprise. The men's singles was won by the defensive player, Mathew Syed who defeated Singaporean Duan Yong Jun in 4 exciting games. Perhaps the most exciting match in the tournament was the semi-final between Duan and top seed Johnny Huang of Canada. Huang went two games to one up winning the second and third easily, Duan came back to win the 4th but was down 18-19 in the fifth before playing three great points to win 21-19.

The women's singles final was an all Singaporean affair with Li Jia Wei, the 18 year old top seed going two games down against defending champion Jing Jun Hong before fighting back to win the next three games and the title. The match produced many excellent rallies but it was missing some tension with both players from the same country.

World Teams Championships

Improving from 55th in 1997 the women's team finished 32^{nd.} This was a little disappointing with our loss to Australia costing a possible position of 9 to 13 and more importantly, a place in the top 24 teams. This loss was harder to take because we started so well with Karen Li recording her first win over Aussie no.1 Miao Miao before Li Chunli. clearly affected by a virus, had her worst day against Australia losing both her matches.

The men drew a very difficult group with the very strong Norwegian team as well as the much improved young team from Thailand. The first match against Thailand which resulted in a 1-3 loss meant that there was little chance of finishing in the top 2 in the group. Having finished 3rd in the group the men were playing for positions 57 to 72. The fact that they finished 57th is a credit to their ability to overcome their disappointment and maintain their pride in their own performance and in representing their country.

Facilities at these Championships were excellent. The tournament was held in the Commonwealth Games venue. The main stadium was in the Gymnastics centre, it held 14 tables, seated 10,000 spectators and had a 4 sided "big screen" video suspended from the roof in the centre of the stadium. The second stadium was 10 minutes walk away and held 12 tables. There were 2 practice halls housing about 40 tables. All of the halls were airconditioned which was vital given temperatures outside constantly in the early 30s and humidity always close to 100%. A feature of the weather was the thunderstorm and torrential downpour that rolled in at 4.00pm on the dot every day and lasted for hours. It was some of the heaviest rain any of us have witnessed.

 $\times \times$

OCEANIA CHAMPIONSHIPS

New Caledonia - 3 to 9 June 2000

Table Tennis New Zealand announced that the following players will represent New Zealand at these Championships:

Men	Women
Peter Jackson (O/Seas) Shane Laugesen (A) Andrew Hubbard (HV) Aaron Winborn (A)	Li Chunli (O/Seas) Karen Li (MN) Sarah Finch (A)
Junior Boys	Junior Girls
Peter Craven (NL) Andy Huang (A) Adrian Soh (N) Yi-Sien Lin (C) Nathan Lowe (A) Brooke Barrie (NH)	Deborah Morrison (C) Lisa Boaden (HV) Eileen Schwab (NH) Sandy Wang (A) Florence Li (A)

The team members will participate in the full range of teams and individual events covering Senior Open and Under 20, 17 and 14 events as appropriate.

My Serve



Coaching - Where to from here!

With a national coach and an executive director going within a year, one would think it would have an impact! Presently we have a coaching plan which of course is necessary when seeking funding from the Hillary Commission, but it is one thing to have a plan on paper and another to execute it. I have taken over the role of coaching director so therefore have the responsibility to ensure that coaching across the board continues to have momentum. A big ask considering the lack of funds and with the move towards more volunteer involvement, one of the most important points will be finding suitable personnel to fill these strategic positions.

Coaching covers a wide area from Kiwisport to high performance, yet I believe all are linked. At the AGM it was interesting that during the workshop on the Sunday, associations were asked key questions about coaching. These showed that associations felt they were responsible for player recruitment, skill learning (basic coaching), and retainment of players. TTNZ's responsibilities were for emerging players and high performance.

TTNZ needs to support associations in their endeavours to recruit and coach with coach education resources and workshops. At present we have available a Kiwisport manual for basic table tennis knowledge and a Level 1 manual for more advanced coaching. These are available from TTNZ. We need to upskill more coaches to deliver these coaching levels to coaches and associations. Many people attended a Level 1 course in Auckland last year and during 2000 these people will be contacted to be assessed in a practical situation.

Presently a "Coaches Count" booklet is being developed in conjunction with the Hillary Commission and will be available to all associations free of charge. It can be used as a basic coaching resource and can be handed out to schools/teachers and other interested people to help promote table tennis. This booklet will be distributed in the near future.

In view of the present state of coaching in NZ, I see more focus on certain areas with key people driving those areas.

- Coach education
- Regional coaches (these have struggled to work in some areas in the past, but the idea is sound).
- High performance, (developing a six year plan to 2006 identifying strategic tournaments/training for players to attend)
- Talent Identification to set policy to help young striving players to reach their potential. (Too many young players have left the game)

The Oceania tournament in 2000 has been identified as important for our high performance and emerging players to attend. This is part of the high performance six year plan which is heading towards the Commonwealth games in Manchester in 2002 and beyond. However we need a base of players and a wise coaching budget will have money set aside for the grass roots.

The Hillary Commission keep telling all codes that the two main areas are the recruitment of young people and coach education. e.g. developing trained coaches.

National training camps are planned to continue and this Easter sees the first. It's unfortunate that funding (lack of) is an issue and players suffer through a user pays system.

My aim this year is to focus on key areas

- the Oceania tournament and training camp.
- the development of resources, like the coaches count booklet
- ♦ the assessment of the Level 1 Coaches.
- ♦ the furthering of coach education.
- ♦ implementing talent identification
- committing to a six year high performance plan

There are many other issues but we must walk before we run.

Good serving,



James

PS A reminder to associations that the Local funding scheme applications through your local council closes on the 31 March, and funds for coaching can be gained through this scheme.

Mrs **Jocelyn Jones** of Bay of Plenty writes regarding some articles in the last issue.

"My Serve"

James Morris's thoughts and ideas in the November issue were very encouraging and helpful and I would like to make some comments.

Firstly I found that the Lion Foundation (Pub Charity) is all very well but unless your club is prepared to buy lots of liquor it is a no go area. Our local club with several juniors do not go into that type of socialising so it is of no help in fundraising.

Secondly – what about **Trivial Pursuit Evenings**? Our local school runs an evening on an annual basis and they are always well attended and lots of fun.

Wayne Gear's suggestion of the four best tournament results determine rankings sounds a good idea, but what if player does not play that number?

I would like to suggest that there should be more tournaments catering specifically for the "middle of the road" players.

With regard to **Brien Halpin's** report on **Wheelchair** players, we have in the Bay of Plenty one player who participates in our ordinary interclub and does extremely well. No special rules are applied.

Thank you Jocelyn for your response.

HOW DO YOU SORT OUT ALL THE TEAMS IN THE WORLD?

by John Kiley

With the New Zealand men's and women's teams freshly back from the World Teams Championships and taking stock of their respective 57th and 32nd finishing positions (full report on page 4), it's a logical time to have a look at how such a huge number of teams (95 men's and 75 women's) can be sorted into a complete finishing order in just one week of competition.

The official explanation occupies six pages of dense technical verbiage accompanied by a succession of diagrams that look more like plates of spaghetti than draw sheets - but after a close look and talking to people who have seen the system at work, one doesn't have to be a genius to work it all out.

Each championship (men's and women's) is divided into two tiers. The top tier is the 24 highest seeded teams divided into four groups of six, with the teams spread evenly to keep the groups at about the same standard. All the other teams make up the second tier and are divided into 16 groups, also spread evenly. Each second tier group has either 3, 4 or 5 teams depending on the total number of teams entered.

Both New Zealand teams were in the second tier.

For any second tier team to become world champion, it obviously must surmount the first hurdle by winning its group. If it only comes second the best it can then do is finish 41st, behind the 24 top tier teams and the 16 second tier group winners. The worst it can do is 56th, behind all the other second placed teams against whom it will have played a series of progressive knock-out matches *. If it comes third it will play for positions 57-72, and if it comes fourth, for positions 73-88.

The 16 second tier group winners now line up and play a two round knock-out contest. The eight teams that lose in the first round play a progressive knock-out for positions 33-40 and the four second round losers play off for positions 29-32. The four teams that survive both rounds are now the only unbeaten teams in the second tier and this entitles them to mix it with the heavyweights in the top tier. Each of them now plays one of the four teams who came third in the top tier groups. If they win they play the four top tier teams who came second. Yet another win qualifies them for the quarter-finals where the four top tier group *winners* restlessly await them. (Since winning their groups they've been interested spectators up till now.) Any second tier team that scrambles through this far is now likely to face three of the top four teams in the world before it can become world champion: one in the quarter-final, one in the semi-final, and one in the final. This phase of play finalises positions 1-12 and is obviously the business end of the championships - fought out amongst the four top tier group winners, the four top tier runners-up; and the winners of the four matches between the top tier third placed teams and the four second tier teams.

The second tier teams that *lose* to their third-placed top tier opponents go into a progressive knock-out with the 4th, 5th and 6th place-getters in the top tier to determine positions 13-28.

* A progressive knock-out is a contest to sort a group of anything from four to sixteen teams into a final finishing order. The winners keep playing each other to determine the top team; the losers keep playing to determine the bottom team; and teams eliminated at parallel stages go into either a two or four team mini-draw to determine the intermediate positions.

By the end of the championships some teams will have played ten contests; most will have played at least eight; all will have been placed from 1 to 88 (and beyond if necessary), and all will have had a chance to become world champion.

It's a tough road, but with table tennis played in 185 countries affiliated to the International Table Tennis Federation, nobody expects it to be easy.

XX



"We had to shift the umpire - you can't follow the **balloon** balls from down here!"

"balloon" = "high defence lob!!"

WHO is doing WHAT nationally in 2000.

Board: Alan Hounsell (Chair; Dir. Planning)

Ron Garrett (Dep.Chair; Dir. Admin.) Dennis Galvin (Dir. Publicity)

Andrew Gordon (Dir. Development)
David Jackson (Dir. Technical)
Bryan Keane (Dir. Marketing)
James Morris (Dir. Coaching)

Staff: John Kiley (Administration Officer)

Technical Committee:

David Jackson

(Vacancy)

Selectors: David Jackson

Bryan Keane Averil Roberts

Ratings and Computer Services:

David Jackson

Umpires Committee

Robert Dunn (Chair) Michael McAvinue

Jim Jarmin

Val Scarr

Championship Review Committee

Andrew Gordon Shona Cudby Wayne Gear Jennifer Hubbard David Jackson Bryan Keane

Magazine: Robin Radford

John Kiley

Web site: Robin Radford

IMR Lyn McKay

Robin Radford



The happy B and C Grade Under 19 and Under 15 Boys teams from Otago at the 1999 New Zealand Championships. Not winners but the C Grade Under 19 team were runners up.

L to R, Back row: Alan Moore (Otago Press) Steven Moore, Ben Guy, Yosuke Tao, Stephen Thomas, Daniel Eyre, Bob Hurr (Coach) Front Row: David Colwill, Michael Eyre, Jarrod Stewart, and Malcolm Moore.

Under 20 Boys WAH SING ACADEMY (NSW) Visit

A friendly match was played in January at the Cashmere Club, Christchurch. (The club was used as the Canterbury stadium was having its floor laid.) The Wah Sing Academy is from New South Wales, Australia and Canterbury was the first stop in NZ for the boys, who continued on to Nelson and Auckland. The games were strongly contested and the audience of 60+ enjoyed a night of good table tennis. The match was drawn 10-all.



L to R: Wah Sing - Dereck Eu, Charles Chau, Adam Green, Tony Bo, Tony Lee (manager). Canterbury - Simon Wallace, Yi-Sien Lin, Karl Samson, Paul Stewart, Aaron Li (Coach).



Alan Tomlinson

Alan had to some degree played in the shadow of Bob Jackson, but he toppled the latter in 1959 to win the New Zealand men's singles title. Alan demonstrated his staying power in top NZ table tennis by taking the title 5 years later, 5 years later again, and 3 years later in 1972.

During the Japanese tour in 1957 he defeated Ogimura (the then World Number 2). During his long career he also achieved 5 singles titles in various championships in the British Isles which included the Scottish open men's singles, and captained NZ teams overseas.

An astute tactician, he was known as a player with a powerful loop drive, with a variety of spin services and solidly hit counter drives.

A popular player and keen supporter of district association championships, he continued to play in Veteran events long after his peak years at the very top, adding further titles to his

impressive list. He featured in the 1978 edition of the Guinness Book of Records (NZ) as the player who had represented this country most in International appearances (54 times).

An outstanding New Zealand player who has also served the game in various facets of administration.

National Titles:

New Zealand Open

Alan - cira 1971

- 10 -

Men's Singles - 1959, 64, 69, 72

Men's Doubles -1955, 56, 57, 58, 59, 62, 68, 69, 70, 71

Mixed Doubles - 1969

Over 55 Men's Singles - 1995, 96

Over 45 Men's Singles - 1982, 83

Over 45 Men's Doubles - 1996

Over 45 Mixed Doubles - 1986, 88

North Island Open

Men's Singles - 1959, 61, 63, 64, 72

Men's Doubles -1955, 56, 57, 58, 65, 68, 69, 70, 76, 79 **Championships**

Mixed Doubles - 1956, 58, 61, 63, 68, 69, 70, 74, 78

Over 35 Men's Singles - 1989

Over 45 Men's Singles - 1984, 86, 87

Over 45 Men's Doubles - 1988

Over 45 Mixed Doubles - 1989

Over 60 Men's Singles - 1995, 98



New Zealand Veteran Championships

Over 40 Men's Singles - 1987

Over 40 Men's Doubles - 1988, 96

Over 40 Mixed Doubles - 1988

Over 50 Men's Singles - 1987, 88

Over 50 Men's Doubles - 1988, 96 Over 50 Mixed Doubles - 1988

From ITTF General Meeting at the World and Commonwealth Championships. from Murray Finch

Ball

The 'big' ball has been voted in. The current 38mm ball has it's last international outing at the Olympics. The new 40mm ball will be introduced at all ITTF events from 1st October 2000. The new ball is heavier and research has shown that it reduces the amount of spin that can be put on the ball and the speed that it can be hit. It has also been proved to be easier to see for players and spectators both at the venue and on TV.

Each national federation is able to choose whether to change to the new ball in local competition for a period of time but this would appear to be folly given the reasons for introducing the ball and the fact that this would seriously disadvantage our representatives who must compete with the new ball from the 2001 Commonwealth and World Champs at the latest.

New Service Rules

From October 1st the rules will change with "no part of the server's body being able to be in front of the base line during the service action (including the start). " This is to make it more difficult to hide the contact point during the service action.

Also being trialled are a number of other new service rules including one where the contact point of the service must be between the body (the closest point of the body to the table) and the base line of the table. Again this will make it extremely difficult to hide the contact point, and would also make it very difficult to use a forehand service.

New Scoring System

The Pro-Tour tournaments after 1st October will trial a new scoring system. Instead of 3 games to 21, there will be 5 games to 11 with 3 serves each. The thinking behind this is that there are more exciting game ends for spectators. Regardless of the result of the trials, changes in the scoring system are being considered and changes are likely.

Player Restriction discussion.

There has been a restriction placed on the number of players at the World Champs with a maximum of 7 per country. This is to reduce the dominance of any one country but one wonders whether it is encouraging mediocrity. With the Chinese men having 10 men ranked in the top 50 and 15 of the top 50 women, should any of these players (and who knows who is emerging behind the scene) be excluded so that players ranked hundreds of places below them can play?

World Championships

The 2001 World Championships in Osaka, Japan will be the last where the teams and individual events will be held at the same tournament. The countries have, with the top players, advocated this for some time. Their argument is that it is too demanding (for the players) having both events at the same time, especially the teams with only one or two top players. A very valid point but from a New Zealand perspective it is likely to remove the opportunity to compete in (and see) the individual events for most of our top players.

- 11 -

Imported Players

There was much discussion, especially at the Commonwealth Champs, about the impact of imported players and coaches. Primarily this means Chinese players and coaches, simply because there are so many. There is hardly a competitive team playing at the top level in women's table tennis that doesn't have at least one ex-pat Chinese in the team - and there are many in the men's game as well, Japan, Netherlands, Spain, Italy, Canada and Austria to name a few. The issue was raised in earnest at the Commonwealth Champs where it received media publicity, responding to reports that a number of top Singaporean officials had resigned in protest at their Association recruiting 3 men and 3 women from China to take the positions in their representative teams.

The debate centres on the improved international results gained by recruiting imported players versus the negative impact on playing numbers as a result of this policy. There appeared to be some variation from situation to situation depending on the personality of the import(s) and what they were prepared to contribute back to the sport. However the general consensus is that you trade local playing numbers and player enthusiasm for success if you adopt a policy of actively promoting imported players and coaches.

Clearly New Zealand has gained much from our Chinese ex-pats. However there are cases both here and across the Tasman of players and coaches using their table tennis knowledge and skill as a currency to obtain a new passport and create a new life only to abandon table tennis when they have achieved their objectives. With society freeing up in China we can expect to see more and more people emerging from that country that were moderate to average players or coaches but can be stars in the new country they choose to reside in. There is no easy answers when deciding on how to deal with the issues that crop up relating to our new residents. All we can do is proceed with caution and not make it too easy for the immigrants until we are sure that they have a similar commitment to the sport that we have.

 $\times \times$

MINDS

Minds are like parachutes – absolutely useless unless they're open.

The BUTTERFLY ball has been adopted as the approved ball for the years 2000 and 2001 by Table Tennis New Zealand.

H.B. Sports the agents for Butterfly also have Training Balls available.

A new rubber - SRIVER EL, the new improved version of Scriver is due out.



H.B. SPORTS P O Box 79220 Royal Heights Auckland Ph 09-8336502

And now - The Barry Butler Stadium

Table Tennis South Canterbury have named their stadium – **Barry Butler Table Tennis Stadium** in recognition of the tremendous contribution made by Barry to South Canterbury table tennis over 35 years as a player, coach and administrator.



Letter to the Editor:

I read the very interesting report in the November issue entitled "Under 18's do it on Their Own" Well done, boys and girls!

I agree any team representing New Zealand should have a coach on the "side-line". For most players it is important to have a coach for psychological reasons. However, several points need to be kept in mind:

- 1 Will the players benefit by having a "strange" team coach who has done very little coaching with the players and doesn't really know their game?
- 2 Would such a coach be accepted by the players?
- Who is capable of coaching our best Under 18 players? Maybe not only top players are capable of coaching.
- 4 How can a coach aim for the glamour jobs if they are not even qualified to coach a team such as the Under 18's. Where does an aspiring coach start?

These questions, I am sure, will be addressed after TTNZ have set up a coaching structure.

Joachim Kusche



THE COST OF TABLE TENNIS

by John Kiley

A person decides to take up table tennis.

Through word of mouth, good promotional work by a club or Association, assistance from a helping agency, or persistent detective work, that person finds somewhere to go where regular play is offered.

Two key questions now arise: "How much should that person pay, or more importantly, what is that person paying *for*?"

To answer this we must recognise that the person, along with thousands of others in an identical situation, is a *consumer* of table tennis. A basic principle of economics is that the consumer should be expected to bear the cost associated with the provision of a service.

Who is providing the service and what does it cost?

Clearly, the people organising the table tennis are the primary providers of the service, and the immediate costs are the cost of balls, depreciation on the tables and nets, and either rent, or debt servicing, building maintenance, power, insurance and rates on the stadium or hall depending on whether the building is rented or owned.

But are those the only costs? What about promotion, and secretarial expenses? And if the organisation is large and professionally run, what about the cost of a part-time employee?

Does it stop there? A table tennis facility can't operate in isolation. It needs to be kept up with changes to the rules of the game and developments in new equipment. So it needs to be linked in some way to the sport's ultimate decision-makers, usually an international body. It can't do that directly, so there has to be at least one intermediate organisation, either regional or national, that keeps the sport consistent over a wide area, links clubs or associations for interclub or inter-association competition, and organises large tournaments. These intermediate bodies, whether regional, national or international, all have to be funded to cover their administration costs. So, who should meet all these costs? Again, applying the basic rules of economics, it should be the consumer.

What has the poor consumer done to deserve all this? Why should the humble social player have to contribute to the cost of the game at international, national and district level when all they want to do is play in a local club each week, and all they need is a ball, a table, a bit of space, a bit of light, and someone to play against. They don't even get a free bat for their money - they had to buy that for themselves.

The bat!!! A perfect example to illustrate the main point being pursued here. What had to happen to bring that bat into the shop and make it available for the table tennis enthusiast to purchase? Firstly, there's research and development. Someone has to figure out what rubber, sponge and blade combinations render the best variety of products. This is an ongoing cost. Then there is the cost of materials, labour, plant depreciation and overheads. And distribution and storage costs for the wholesaler. And the retailer needs to cover the cost of rent on the premises and wages for the staff.

- 14 -

Every cent of all these costs is passed on to the consumer, who has the final all-important judgement call of the whole process - is the product worth the cost? Nobody argues with this process - it's a way of life.

Why should it be different with the consumer of table tennis? Making the game available has a cost. If that cost is seen by the consumer to be too high for what they get, they will look to spend their leisure dollar elsewhere. If it's not, they will pay it - and hopefully tell their friends so more come to participate in the product to either bring the cost down, or allow more services to be offered for the same money.

So if we add up the total cost of the providing table tennis in New Zealand and divide it by the total number of registered players we arrive at the cost per person. Is it value for money? If so, all is well. If not - something needs to be done.

Obviously cost exceeds value as things stand given the sport's dependence on a large annual funding grant from the Hillary Commission and the need for district associations to organise their own local fundraising initiatives. Maybe it's a fact of life that table tennis's income will always need to be supplemented. After all, no other sport in New Zealand appears to be able to survive entirely on the pockets of its participants. But the key point is that the measure of value against cost can only take place where the consumer meets the product. All too often the answers are sought elsewhere. The question gets asked. "Are we as an association getting value for our money when we pay affiliation fees to the national body?" This is the wrong question. If it has to be asked, the answer will always be no. It's the wrong question because associations that affiliate to the national body are not consumers. It's equally inappropriate to ask whether a club receives value for its affiliation fees to the local district association - because, again, the club is not a consumer. It is an intermediary - part of the process required to deliver the product to the consumer; a link in a chain that stretches from the game's international custodians to the local club secretary who purchases the balls. Somebody has to bear these costs. If not the consumer, who? Everybody else works for table tennis, only the player purchases it.

This leads to an obvious conclusion. While costs can be brought down and services can be improved, the only really effective and enduring solution to any financial shortfall is to increase the number of consumers. Our biggest stumbling block to achieving this is the prevailing and highly erroneous view held by the tens of thousands of table tennis players who play the game at work, at school, in social clubs and in the basement at home, blissfully ignorant of the fact that all the costs associated with the development and the delivery of the sport into their laps have been, and continue to be, paid by people other than themselves. Their erroneous view? "We don't want to join a club, or affiliate our group to a parent body, because that would cost us money. We're happy as we are. We don't owe anyone anything. We've paid for the bats, the balls and the net; we made our own table." All true enough but what about Table Tennis itself. They haven't paid

Home Sweet

Home

for that. They've taken that for nothing!! They were happy to accept that there were costs incurred in the making and supplying of their equipment but have ignored the costs associated with the

development of the sport itself.

To repeat an earlier question, if the people playing table tennis don't pay the cost of it, who does???

Wouldn't it be Luverly!

Alfred Ransom Harding (1913 - 2000)

Alf Harding died in Lower Hutt on 1 January.

Founder of the first New Zealand table tennis club to own its own building. Alf Harding can be described as the true table tennis allrounder. His achievements ranged from establishing a club in a back yard shed in 1934 to chairing the Hutt Valley Table Tennis Association for nine years and the NZ Association for twelve. As a player he represented his club in A grade for a quarter of a century and was a member of the first, and only, champion New Zealand club team in 1949. He represented Hutt Valley at top level for many years and crowned his playing career by winning a bronze medal in the Over 80 events at the World Veteran Championships in Melbourne in 1995.

He was a Life Member of his club, his Association, and Table Tennis New Zealand.

He accompanied the national team from China on its visit to New Zealand in 1974 as part of China's demonstration of their new "Friendship first, competition second" policy with the west. He chaired the New Zealand management committee during this headline grabbing "ping-pong diplomacy" era.

At club level, he led and encouraged others to develop Hutt Valley's Empire club from a disused fowl-house to a ten table purpose-built facility owned debt-free. He achieved this, in the opinion of his loyal lieutenant for more than 40 years (Ron Menchi), by infecting others with his seemingly unlimited energy. "The drive and enthusiasm which Alf displayed and which took the Empire Club from humble beginnings to one of the leading clubs in New Zealand inspired those of us who were privileged to work with him. It's always been my ambition to follow Alf's example to the best of my ability."

An impressive array of high quality administrators were identified and developed at club, district and national level under Alf Harding's leadership.

He also had a sharp eye for picking potential top players at a very early stage.

A professional sports journalist, Alf was well known to readers of Wellington's Evening Post and consistently secured high profile coverage of table tennis events which otherwise would probably never have received publicity.

His wife Merle, 85, continues to enjoy her weekly game of table tennis.

When Alf Harding attended the 60th jubilee of the Empire club in 1994 people were asking the

question, "How many 60th jubilees could there have been anywhere in the world where the founder was fit and well and able to attend as a still active participant?" Not many!!

John Kiley

Ivan Houghton

Ivan passed away on November 28th after a long illness.

He began his table tennis career with the Onslow club sometime way back in about 1947 and remained loyal to that club throughout his life. He will always be remembered for his hard work for table tennis, his firm ideas, his dedicated play, his scoop like backhand - only speed dictating whether it was defensive or attacking.

Over the years, briefly in Hamilton (where he was instrumental in developing all aspects of the Waikato and Hamilton Associations), briefly in Wairarapa and for many years in Wellington he was a dedicated table tennis and tennis worker and player. He was a life member of the Wellington Table Tennis Association, Onslow Table Tennis Club, Wellington Tennis Association and Khandallah Tennis and Squash Club, these honours being

bestowed upon him for his years of incredible service to and participation in these organisations. One of his very last tasks was as co-author of the history of Khandallah Tennis and Squash.

He was to the fore in helping the Wellington Table Tennis Association acquire it's own stadium in 1972.

He not so long ago donated 5 new top class tables to the Onslow TT club and one of his last contributions to TT was as Treasurer of the Wellington Association.

His qualities and dedication were well known to the two sports, which without doubt are far better for his participation.

Robin Radford

Garry Alexander John Frew MBE.



On Monday 28
February 2000
Northland was
stunned by the sudden
death of Garry Frew at
age 64 years.
Approximately 1000
mourners attended his
funeral held in the
Advocate sports
stadium on Friday 3

March and afterwards met in the Northland Table Tennis centre. This huge attendance emphasises how highly regarded Garry was as a sportsman, journalist, colleague and friend. His long time friend Sid Going (famous All Black) officiated at the service.

Garry was an excellent all-round sportsman, representing NZ in table tennis, Northland in tennis, played cricket and squash for Whangarei, and was also a handy rugby player.

He was best known for his table tennis and although Garry never started playing until he was 13, by 18 he was off to England in 1954 representing NZ. He was a hard bat player with a tenacious two winged defence and scorching forehand drive. His dedication for practice, his determination as a player and

his sportsmanship were his trademarks. In 1953 Garry won three NZ U18 titles and later, went on to win numerous doubles titles and many were with his long time partners, Neti Traill and Murray Dunn. 1954 saw Garry win the Scottish Men's open. He never captured a NZ open singles title. Many people considered Garry unlucky as it was in the reign of Bob Jackson (he won from 1953 to 58) and Garry was runner-up to Jackson in 1955 and 1956.

As a 14 year old I remember seeing Garry defeat NZ's best at the North Island Championships in Gisborne in 1966 to win the men's singles. The exciting games played against Alan Tomlinson and Murray Dunn will always be in my memory.

I started developing my table tennis in the late 1960s when Garry took me under his wing and his wise counsel helped me tremendously. Looking back, the best advice Garry gave me was to never give up when the going gets tough, but to dig in and to fight hard. And at the end of the game no matter what the result, shake hands with a smile and say "well played". Garry was a gentle man and a gentleman, and led by example. Garry had a great sense of humour and many of the junior players in Northland that Garry nurtured enjoyed this wit. In the 1970s and early 80s Northland had many junior players and Garry was largely responsible.


Garry worked as the sports editor for the Northern Advocate for 48 years, where his commitment and dedication to Northland sport was unfailing. Because of Garry's love for table tennis our sport received regular coverage.

Garry was a life member of Northland table tennis, Northland tennis, North Auckland rugby union, a patron of Sport Northland and was awarded an MBE in 1992 for his service to sport.

It's been a privilege to have known this great man who has been a mentor to me. Northland and New Zealand have lost a great servant to sport.

James Morris

- 16 - - 17 -



Bill Mathams (age 83) (right) and **Sam Henderson** enjoying playing in the new stadium during a Thursday morning club last December.

The floor has since been covered in particle board on battens mounted on rubber and is a deep red colour.

Reports indicate it is great to play on.



DENIC.

New Zealand

CONTRA Company, one of the biggest retailer for table tennis equipment has tested different rubbers and blades from manufacturers such as Butterfly, Donic Stiga, TSP, Tibhar etc.

Here are some of the ratings. (Points out of 100)

Rubbers - 146 tested	Speed	Control	Spin	Spring	Price
Bryce	97	94	98	98	?
Mendo Energy	99	96	98	93	?
DONIC Destro	100	90	98	100	\$58
Sriver L	95	94	94	94	?
Mark V	96	92	94	94	?
DONIC Vario	96	92	95	94	\$40 **
Coppa Z1 (DONIC)	97	92	95	98	\$40

Bats - 110 tested	Speed	Control	Price
Stiga Energy WRB	91	94	?
Primorac	97	95	?
Jonyer H	92	80	?
DONIC Powerplay V!	92	94	\$69
DONIC Powerspeed	95	85	\$69
DONIC Dicon	93	83	\$60 **
DONIC Powerfibre	95	91	\$89

** On Special

DONIC NZ 327 Coldstream Rd Rangiora.

Order **NOW**, Ph (03) 313-3449 Fax (03) 313 3412

World Team Championships 2000

WHAT A FINAL!

The 2000 World Championships men's teams final in Kuala Lumpur was a contest rated by most as one of the greatest finals of all time. It was between the raging hot favourites China and a somewhat ageing Swedish team.

China's biggest problem was who to leave out with 4 players ranked in the top 6 in the world. They decided to play Kong Linghui and Liu Guoliang and sprung a surprise with rising star Liu Guozhang (world ranked 20 and Pro–Tour champion) at number 3. Sweden had little choice, going with the incomparable Jan-Ove Waldner (world no.8), the reliable Joergen Persson (no.9) and Peter Karlsson (no.18).

The first match was between Liu Guoliang and Waldner. Waldner had never beaten Liu. The first game was looking very comfortable for Liu as he worked his way to a 20-14 lead before Waldner staged one of his characteristic comebacks to get to 19-20. Liu flipped from having the short pimpled rubber on his forehand at the last second to use the smooth rubber to loop a slightly long serve for a winner to take the game 21-19. Waldner simply took Liu apart in the second game 21-7, finishing it with a disdainful chop-block to a powerful forehand by Liu played from a wide angle. The final game ebbed and flowed and appeared over when Liu took a 20-17 lead before Waldner got a lucky net cord and then regained the momentum to level at 20 all. Liu had another match point at 21-20 but was unable to take advantage of it and Waldner closed the match out 23-21.

Persson and Kong contested the second match which was won by Persson in two straight games. This match produced many excellent rallies with Persson having a slight edge especially in the backhand to backhand rallies.

At 2-0, Sweden had assumed favouritism and this was evident by the demeanour of the guy sitting next to me who had a \$6,000 bet placed on China. However nobody had told Liu Guozhang who proceeded to demolish the accomplished Peter Karlsson 21-6, 21-16. Kong then showed great character as he recovered from his loss to Persson to produce one of his best ever displays in comfortably beating Waldner two straight. Kong's defence and counter-attack were assured and he more than answered all the challenges Waldner put to him.

Contest tied at 2-2 and reigning World and Olympic Champion Liu Guoliang to play ex-World Champion (1991) Joergen Persson. Sweden has put up a great fight but it's over now.....isn't it? Liu won the first game 21-18. Back came Persson to win the second 21-17. The third was a close affair before Liu established a break in the middle of the game. Again back came Persson and at 17-17 Liu fault served. Persson returned the favour immediately, 18-18. From this point Persson played 3 excellent points to win the game, the match and the title, 21-18.

This match was not only a triumph for a Swedish team that, aged in their mid thirties, was thought to be past their best but a personal triumph for Persson. He has, for the bulk of his career, lived in the shadow of his compatriot, Waldner. He does not possess the shear brilliance of Waldner with his service, return and creativity. Persson is, however a great player in his own right with a very strong (dominant in this tournament) backhand drive, flick, loop and block; a powerful forehand and fantastic athleticism. His ability to stay calm and play well under pressure served him well in this tournament – his tournament.

Murray Finch

National Development Camp

July 11th - 14th In Nelson

TTNZ Director of Coaching James Morris has planned this camp specifically to identify future champions giving each player a pathway and the necessary support to reach the top of NZ table tennis, going on to be NZ's senior representative players in the future.

There are many talented young players around our regions and associations are being asked to nominate the players they think will fit into this category, then it will be up to the NZ selectors to choose the participants from this talent spotted group.

The programme will be made up of 24 players consisting of 4 under 18 boys and girls, 4 under 16 boys and girls and 4 under 14 boys and girls. The reason for this breakdown is to ensure a continuity of future champions in playing styles, technique etc., thus setting a level for other young players aspirations. This is only the first stage of this new and exciting programme, and it is possible that the numbers may have to be increased in the future.

The players attending this first camp will be coached by two of New Zealand's top coaches who will follow the players progress throughout the year and will be there to support and encourage the players to achieve their goals. All local association coaches will be asked to encourage this group of players to continue with their schedules while still being their main coach.

The cost of this camp is \$190.00 per player which includes all coaching, five nights accommodation and meals. Travel will be the participants own care but they will be met at the bus or airport on arrival.

Bryan Keane Academy Director

Nelson

Most clubs are open for the 2000 season and the numbers so far look great with everybody looking forward to a fun filled table tennis year. **Corner** The Nelson club is on Monday nights from 6.30pm onwards – contact lan Beattie 5467507 and starting on May 1st is the Wakefield club also from

6.30pm till 9.00pm with the contact being Neal Davis 5418820.

The Academy senior club is on Tuesday nights from 7.30pm and the Junior club is on Wednesday nights from 7.00 - 9.00pm contact Bryan Keane or Ian Alino on 5477530.

The Wakapuaka club is on Wednesday nights from 7.00pm contact Nina Roddis 5450278 along with The Trojans club (Richmond Town Hall) also open at 7.00pm till late contact Roy Mcl auchlan 5448451.

All of these clubs offer good facilities for players to enjoy an evening out catering for all levels of playing abilities. Children are most welcome and we have many total families coming along joining in on the fun activities run each week by the different clubs. Coaching is available at most clubs for everybody who wants to part-take of the skills on offer by some of NZ's top coaches at a very reasonable cost so just ask the club for details.



Table Tennis appreciates the



So you thought you were a good umpire!

Roger Watkin replies to the questions posed in our last issue:

1. Is it legal for doubles partners to swap bats in the middle of a rally???

Only if they are married, or at least, very good friends. However, great care must be taken during the swapping manoeuvre to ensure neither bat is dropped. It is also recommended that swapping be restricted to long boring rallies as a means of distracting your opponents. Note also that during normal doubles play by players of B grade standard and higher, that the time lapse between shots is approx. 0.895 seconds. Therefore, bat swapping needs to be practised so that it can be performed extremely quickly.

NB: Bat swapping is forbidden in MD & WD events. This is to prevent the spread of BSD (Bat Swapping Disease)

2. Is it legal for the umpire to call "Play on" during a rally???

Only in event of earthquake or other natural disaster. However in China where 'play' means 'let' and 'on' means 'please' this is a perfectly normal call.

Note: Be careful in Mongolia, where 'play' means 'get' and 'on' means 'knotted' - remember many Mongolians are descendants of Attila the Hun.

3. Is it legal for a coach outside the playing area to make silent signals to a player during a match???

Only between points as most table tennis players are too busy watching the silly little bouncing vellow thing to pay attention to the coach. However, as most sports fans know. the reason the All Blacks failed to win the World Cup was the lack of silent signals from Mr J Hart to Mr T Randall, therefore the following experimental signals have been agreed to by TTNZ for the 2000 season:

- a) Coach holds up left hand "attack down opponents forehand"
- b) Holds up sign with "ttnz@tabletennis.org.nz" on it "hang in there consulting online coaching manual and will get back to you shortly"
- c) Holds up right hand "attack down opponents backhand"

NB: Signals a and c are reversed if opponent is left-handed

- d) Makes circle with thumb & pointer finger of LH and inserts pointer finger of RH in circle and with exaggerated motion removes finger from circle - self explanatory
- e) Holds up both hands and throws head back "what are you doing? Can't you remember anything I've taught you? I'm going home!"
- f) Scratches head "don't have any advice"
- g) Throws handkerchief on floor "give in!"
- h) Covers eyes "do whatever you want I can't bear to watch"

Continued page 23

The National Office Has moved. The lease was up for renewal and the many sports making up Sports House in the Central Library Building decided the rent was too high. TTNZ now share a floor with Badminton, Sports Science and Croquet, (with Tennis and Golf in the same building) at:

Level 5, Compudigm House 49 Boulcott St, Wellington

(Full contact details back cover)

2000 SUMMER NATIONALS - Nelson - 8-14 January

<u>Open</u> Men's Singles	<u>Winner</u> Aaron Li (N)	Singles Runner Up Yi-Sien Lin (C)
Women's Singles	not held	
Men's Doubles	I Alino & A Li (N)	
Women's Doubles	S Moore & J Wood (C)	
Mixed Doubles	A Li & J Wood (N/C)	
<u>B Grade</u>		
Men's Singles	Tim Armstrong (N)	Dave Samson (C)
Women's Singles	Meena Chang (C)	Sandra Moore (C)
Men's Doubles	T Armstrong & S Craw (N/C)	
Women's Doubles	M Chang & J Wood (C)	
Mixed Doubles	D Samson & M Chang (C)	
Over 50 years	3 ()	
Men's Singles	Stephen Craw (C)	Glenn Haszard (C)
Women's Singles	Sherry Chang (C)	Betty Russ (N)
Men's Doubles	R McLauchlan & L Wells (N)	
Women's Doubles	S Chang & S Williams (C/N)	
Mixed Doubles	S Craw & S Chang (C)	
Over 40 years	o olaw a o ollarig (o)	
Men's Singles	Stuart Wallace (C)	Dave Samson (C)
Women's Singles	Sandra Moore (C)	Sherry Chang (C)
Men's Doubles	S Craw & Stuart Wallace (C)	Cherry Chang (C)
Women's Doubles	S Chang & S Moore (C)	
Mixed Doubles	D Samson & S Chang (C)	
Under 25 years	D damson & d dhang (d)	
Men's Singles	Yi-Sien Lin (C)	Evan Kramer (W)
Women's Singles	Meena Chang (C)	Sophie Shu (MN)
Men's Doubles	Simon Wallace & S Xu (C)	Sopriic Sria (WiN)
Women's Doubles	M Chang & J Wood (C)	
Mixed Doubles	Y Lin & M Chang (C)	
Under 20 years	T LIT & IVI Chang (C)	
Men's Singles	Yi-Sien Lin (C)	Simon Wallace (C)
Women's Singles	Jacqui Wood (C)	Meena Chang (C)
Men's Doubles	J Cordue & E Kramer (W)	weena Chang (C)
Women's Doubles	M Chang & J Wood (C)	
Mixed Doubles	Y Lin & J Wood (C)	
Under 17 years	f Lili & J Wood (C)	
	John Cardua (M)	Simon Wallage (C)
Boys' Singles	John Cordue (W)	Simon Wallace (C)
Girls' Singles	Kelly Samson (C)	Jacqui Wood (C)
Boys' Doubles	Karl Samson & S Wallace (C)	
Girls' Doubles	Kelly Samson & J Wood (C)	
Mixed Doubles	Karl Samson & J Wood (C)	
<u>Under 14 years</u>	1 O'l 1 (M/D)	OL C. F. L. C. AD
Boys' Singles	James Silverwood (WR)	Chris Erskine (N)
Girls' Singles	Kelly Samson (C)	Sophie Shu (MN)
Boys' Doubles	C Erskine & B Zhu (N/HV)	
Girls' Doubles	S Shu & H Squire (MN/HV)	
Mixed Doubles	C Erskine & Kelly Samson (N/C)	

So you thought you were a good umpire! (continued from page 21)

4. Is it legal to throw the ball high in service with one hand and then pick up the bat and serve with the same hand ???

Yes, providing the following conditions are met:

- a) Umpire is advised beforehand
- b) Server has only one usable hand

Note: the bat must be in the hand no later than 0.5 secs before striking the ball, or the umpire should call "No Ball".

Special note: No glueing-up is allowed between the throwing up of the ball and striking it. Any breaking of this rule to be immediately dealt with by the umpire showing the offending player a yellow card and awarding a point to opponent.

Extra special note: Should the ball be in flight longer than 30 seconds before being struck the server shall be penalised a point for time wasting.

Any dissent dealt with by showing a red card.

Notwithstanding Roger's imaginative response, the official word from the Umpires Committee is "No" to all four questions.

 $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$

Table Tennis New Zealand Web site has had a partial rejig!

New are: An **index** included on the Home/Main page for easier access. **Titleholder History** for National Championships included. **Canterbury Association** have web pages.

The results of the **Australian Championships** were reported in our November issue, and we now have an interesting letter from one of the participants.

Peter Craven writes:

"The NZ Under I8 tour to Australia generally I thought went quite well. In the teams events both the girls and boys team did especially well by winning the teams events. Unfortunately we were ineligible for the title as we were an overseas team.

In the individual events only myself and Lisa Boaden made it past section play. It was at this stage of the tournament the disadvantages of having no coach were highlighted. I personally found it hard trying to coach players and then trying to prepare for my own games. I just felt someone with expertise in coaching was needed.

The tournament was a lot more official than a New Zealand nationals. Formalities like shaking the umpires and opponent's coach's hand are in force in Australia. Perhaps something to look into here. Also late entries are allowed. The two days rest we had over there before the tournament started were beneficial as it let everyone get used to the conditions.

I would like to extend a special thanks to Mrs Morrison who always made sure the players were looked after with lunches, and driving us all over the place. Our sightseeing trip to the great Barrier Reef was a definite highlight (However I'm not sure Mrs Morrison will feel the same way as she was sick on the way out!)

I feel the trip was worthwhile but the competition, I didn't think was as strong as it could have been and I think in terms of exposure to table tennis, playing in a stronger playing nation would be more beneficial."

WORLD WHEELCHAIR GAMES generates more comment:

I recently had the privilege of working as a "Bat Person" at the Table Tennis Wheelchair Games. What an inspiration the players were; it is a shame that more able bodied players did not see what can be achieved. I was however disappointed by the media's lack of coverage. Maybe with more publicity, NZ Wheelchair athletes would be encouraged to take up table tennis.

Special mention must be made of the marathon efforts of Trevor Flint and Nanette White who both spent long hours arranging and running the tournament, and to all those who gave their time to umpire. Without dedicated volunteers the tournament would not have been such a success.

Cath Anderson (Canterbury)

1999 Rankings

Men **Under 19 Boys** Women 1. Aaron Li (N) 1. Li Chunli (O/Seas) 1. Peter Craven (NH) 2. Peter Jackson (O/Seas) 2. Karen Li (MN) 2. Andy Huang (A) 3. Shane Laugesen (A) 3. Maxine Goldie (A) 3. Adrian Soh (N) 4. Andrew Hubbard (HV) 4. Sarah Finch (A) 4. Paul Stewart (C) 5. Aaron Winborn (A) 5. Tanya Hefferan (NH) 5. Yi-Sien Lin (C) 6. Chris Herlihy (A) 6. Debbie Garrett (S) 6. Oscar Elwell (A) 7. Sean Chen (A) 7. Sabine Westenra (HV) 7. Simon Wallace (C) 8. Wayne Gear (A) 8. Tracev McLauchlan (HV) 8. Greg Burton (NH) 9. David Jackson (A) 9. Raewyn Young (HV) 9. Andrew Hovey (WG) 10. Peter Craven (NH) 10. Ulrike Kusche (C) 10. John Cordue (W) **Under 19 Girls Under 15 Boys Under 15 Girls** 1. Rachel Griffiths (NH) 1. Andy Huang (A) 1. Jiani Hu (N) 2. Deborah Morrison (C) 2. Simon Wallace (C) 2. Sacha Welsh (NL) 3. Tamsyn Burgess (NH) 3. Josh Alexandre (A) 3. Eileen Schwab (NH) 4. Lisa Boaden (HV) 4. Kelsey Fagan (WT) 4. Sandy Wang (A) 5. Monique Neal (NH) 5. Frank Tsai (A) 5. Jennifer Lo (NH) 6. Jiani Hu (N) 6. Gareth Van Stipriaan (NH) 6. Florence Li (A) 7. Alison Thomson (WG) 7. Tianlun Chen (A) 7. Jacqui Wood (C) 8. Kelly Samson (C) 8. Laura Jane Young (WT) 8. Hadleigh Bunce (NH) 9. Claire Dimmock (WT) 9. lan Loubachevskii (HV) 9. Chantelle Kok (NH) 10. Meena Chang (C) 10. Nathan Lowe (A) 10. Sarah Ho (WK)

To qualify for a NZ ranking, players must have competed in a minimum of 4 rating events in any particular category, excluding B & C Grades. Allowance is made for overseas commitments.

SIMON WALLACE

1999 NZ JUNIOR OF THE YEAR and NZ JUNIOR PLAYER OF THE YEAR

Simon Wallace, aged 14, has been playing competitive table tennis in Christchurch for about four years. After he showed a liking for the game in some initial garage skirmishes, his mother insisted he receive some early coaching so that he wouldn't copy his dad's unique playing style. He then joined the Harewood Club's after-school programme for Forms I and II players and was coached by Simon Fenwick and Keith and Tim Armstrong.

He steadily moved up the interclub grades and at age 12 won the B Grade knock-out title, after averaging only around 50% wins during the season. During the past two years he has had regular coaching from Aaron Li and has improved rapidly as a result.

In 1999 Simon played Premier Grade interclub for the first time. He had a particularly successful South Island Open Championships, winning the under 15 and under 17 boys'

singles titles. He was then runner up in the under 15 event at the Nationals and visited Australia with the New Zealand junior team. There he won 96% of his games in the team competition and reached the semi-final of the individuals in his age group.

The aim for 2000 is to put in additional hours of coaching and practice, so that he can further improve his play. But most of all he wants to make sure his dad never again wins any of their garage contests.

Simon was number 2 in the Under 15 Boys' and number 7 in the Under 19 Boys' New Zealand rankings for 1999.

XIX



Individual Member Registration.



This years registrations will be achieved by the completion of forms for new members, but renewals of previous registrations will be carried out by district associations as members renew their registrations/affiliations to them. Renewal forms will not be required from members as in the past.

To save resources only new members will receive registration letters. Existing members retain their registration numbers from last year, the associations have a record of their own member's numbers, and it will be shown on the address labels used for the dispatch of the magazine.



Magazine Dispatch.

As in previous years, the March issue of the magazine will be sent to previous (1999) and current (2000) years registrations. However only those registered for this year 2000 will receive the July issue.

So, people, make sure you are registered/affiliated to your association in plenty of time for them to advise TTNZ, well prior to the July issue.

- 25 -

- 24 -

TTNZ Rating System for 2000.

The Rating system has been reviewed and the following is proposed for 2000.

A player's rating is a number (of points) which represents his/her playing ability relative to other rated players. A player's rating changes by graded amounts depending upon the rating difference between his/her rating and the rating of his/her opponent.

The following tables define the calculations:

Rating Change Table:

Rating Difference <25 <50 <100 <150 <200 <250	Normal Outcome 8 7 5 3 2 1	Upset outcome 8 10 12 15 20 26 32
	U	32

The winners rating is increased by the amount in this table (adjusted by the weighting factor below) while the losers rating is decreased by the amount in the table.

Weighting table

Weighting	Tournaments		
3.0	Nationals Individual events.		
2.0	North and South Island Championships, National		
Team events.			
1.5	Summer Nationals, all provincial open		
	tournaments, including Top 12, Team		
	Tournaments etc.		
1.0	Closed or restricted events.		



For main events the above weightings shall be applied only to the winners points, the losers points are not adjusted by a weighting factor. For Division and Consolation events the weighting applied to the winners points shall be half the tournament weighting, the losers points shall be weighted up to a maximum of 1.

- Players are assigned a rating as soon as they compete in a qualifying event.
- Players are assigned an initial rating based upon their initial results. This may be reviewed at any time when further results are received.
- Players only have one rating and so appear on any list where appropriate with the same rating points.
- · All results of singles matches are processed.
- Players will appear on Rating Lists in the year following their last qualifying match played.
- Players not listed (due to lack of participation), shall retain their rating, and this shall be used to determine grade eligibility.
- Players who have not played in any rated match for an entire season, shall have their rating depreciated at a rate of 2% at the end of each such year.

Umpires Committee

Table Tennis New Zealand have set up an umpires committee, which will consist of active umpires, to organise umpiring throughout New Zealand.

In these days of professional sport the committee wishes to:

- Raise the standard of umpires.
- Standardise the interpretation of the rules with training.
- Set an umpire's dress code.
- Have sufficient umpires to hold international contests without importing overseas international umpires.
- Increase the number of people involved with umpiring.
- Encourage inactive umpires to participate again.
- Arrange training in Australia for top national qualified umpires under the Oceania umpires committee.

- 27 -

Train umpires in New Zealand.


The committee is:

Robert Dunn (Convenor)
Michael McAvinue (Secretary)
Jim Jarmin
Val Scarr

A Stationary Thought.

A bus station is where the bus stops. A train station is where the train stops.... (that reminds me, I must get back to my work station.)

WORLD RANKINGS NZ Players (as at 6 March 2000)			
<u>Women</u>			
Li Chunli	39		
Karen Li	95		
Sarah Finch	368		
<u>Men</u>			
Peter Jackson	137		
Shane Laugesen	278		
Andrew Hubbard	361		
Aaron Winborn	387		





- 26 -